



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

8

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,638	03/29/2004	W. Matthew Fender	47399-0095	2384
24115	7590	07/26/2005	EXAMINER	
BUCKINGHAM, DOOLITTLE & BURROUGHS, LLP			NUTTER, NATHAN M	
50 S. MAIN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AKRON, OH 44308			1711	

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/811,638	FENDER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Umakant K. Rajguru	1711

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 and 27-35 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 13-26 & 36-45 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____

Art Unit: 1711

1. A response (to first non-final rejection of Nov.18, 2004) has been filed on Mar. 31, 2005.
2. Claims now under examination are 13-26 and 36-45.
3. Rejection of claims 14-19 and 21-26 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph (see item 4 of office action of Nov. 18, 2004) is now withdrawn.
4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
5. Applicants' observation on page 8 of response of Mar. 31, 2005 that there cannot be undue burden in searching all claims is simply a conclusion without any factual basis. The restriction is proper and now made final.
6. Claims 13-26 and 36-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goto et al (056274248) in view of Meyer et al (US 4467077) and Williams et al (US 2003/0229160).

Disclosures of Goto and Meyer are set forth in earlier office action Williams discloses an extrudable compound which comprises a polymer, a cellulosic fiber and a lubricant. Lubricants set forth in [0027] to [0040] read on instantly claimed ones.

It would have been obvious to add to the composition of Goto, (a) chlorinated paraffins of Meyer to ensure enhanced uniformity in blending thereby resulting in better mechanical properties and (b) lubricants of Williams to increase flow during molding.

Applicant's arguments filed Mar. 31, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' argument on page 12, that mica is responsible to increase in physical properties cannot be agreed with particularly because Meyer

Art Unit: 1711

expressly mentions, in abstract, that mechanical properties of mica filled polyolefin resin composites are improved by addition of chlorinated aliphatic compounds.

Applicants' arguments based on use of tale are not persuasive since tale is not within the scope of instant claims.

Applicants' conclusion on page 15, that « there was no decrease in extruder torque (in Goto and Meyer)" cannot be accepted unless the applicants provide some data in support of this conclusion.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Umakant k. Rajguru whose telephone number is 571-

272-1077. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday and 9:30a.m.-6:00p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rajguru
July 6, 2005



James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700

Application/Control Number: 10/811,638
Art Unit: 1711

Page 5